

Assistant Director for Operations

4 February 1953

Chief, [REDACTED] FOIAb3b1

Investigation of certain subjects for the  
Deputy Director (Intelligence)

REF : Memorandum to Chief, [REDACTED] from Operations Officer, [REDACTED]  
29 January 1953, enclosed. FOIAb3b1

FOIAb3b1

1. Not all of the answers to questions posed by the Deputy  
Director (Intelligence) in the enclosed memorandum are concurred  
in by the Chief, [REDACTED]. Specific exception is taken to the follow-  
ing points: FOIAb3b1

a. The first question on construction at [REDACTED] has  
been answered to the effect that the quality of the work done  
by local contractors is not worth the money saved by avoiding  
use of the District Engineer. The use of careful inspectors  
who will rigidly adhere to the plans and specifications will  
overcome any deficiencies in the quality of work of local  
contractors.

25X1A6a

b. On the question of relocation of the [REDACTED]  
it is believed that the advantages of sharing utilities, roads,  
and other physical facilities cannot be overrated in light of  
the permanence and priorities which the vital [REDACTED]  
activities will receive at all times from [REDACTED]

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

2. It is recommended that these remarks be forwarded together  
with the basic memorandum to the Deputy Director (Intelligence).

Enclosure

AMW:lel

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
1 - Admin. files  
1 - AMW

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Document No. 13
No Change in Class. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Declassified
Class. Changed to: TS 000
Next Review Date: 1989
Auth.: HR 70-3
Date: 12 JUN 1979
By: 36377

25X1A9a

**MISSING PAGE**

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

Enclosures